**ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Biosurfactant Production by Pseudomonas Aeruginosa Strains on 1ml of Inoculum Size

SHOAIB ASIF[1], HAROON HABIB[2], MUDASER HUSSAIN ABBASI[3], *RANA MUHAMMAD AKHTAR[4], MUHAMMAD WAQAS[5], RANA M. SHAHID ZAFAR, HEENA AWAIS,*

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To produce biosurfactants from Pseudomonas aeruginosa using agricultural resource and to produce Biosurfactants using low cost materials.
**Study design**: Descriptive study
**Place and duration of study**: Study was conducted at Institute of molecular biology and biotechnology in university of Lahore. Duration of the study was two years.
**Materials and methods**: The volume of sample taken are 1ml, of innoculum from growing culture of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*was isolated from contaminated soil collected from industrial area of district kasoorand flasks were then placed into an orbital shaker at speed of 120rpm. The samples were collected in sterile screw capped bottle, 4-5cm deep from the soil surface aseptically. The samples were stored at 4$^{o}$C till further use. After every 24h the culture broth from each flask was taken to estimate bacterial cell mass.
**Results:**-Surface tension was 61.8, 48.1, 33.7 and 31.9mN/m at time 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours respectively at constant temperature of 37°C and molasses used 0.25g with 1ml inoculum size. The rhamnolipid production was 0.61, 1.24, 2.13 and 2.74 g/L respectively. Similarly the bacterial cell mass was 0.28, 0.38, 0.7 and 1.0g/L respectively. And the effect of inoculum size was also evaluated by the production of rhamnolipid as it is evident that at 1ml inoculum size 2.74g/L rhamnolipid was obtained after 96 hours .
**Conclusion**: Optimizing various growth and environmental factors a production of rhamnolipid was achieved using 0.25g molasses with 1ml inoculum size.
**Keywords:** Biosurfactants, molases, pseudomonas aeruginosa

## INTRODUCTION

Biosurfactantsare amphiphilic biological compounds produced extracellularly or as part of the cell membrane by a variety of yeast, bacteria and filamentous fungi from various substances including sugars, oils and wastes. However, carbohydrates and vegetable oils are among the most widely used substrates for research on biosurfactant production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*strains[1]. Molasses: Molasses is a co-product of sugar production, both from sugar cane as well as from sugar beet. It is defined as the runoff syrup from the final stage of crystallization, in which further crystallization of sugar is uneconomical. Molasses generally consists of 48-56% total sugar (mainly sucrose), 9-12% non-sugar organic matter, 2-4% protein (N×6.25), 1.5-5% potassium, 0.4-0.8% calcium, 0.06% magnesium, 0.6-2.0% phophorus, 1.0-3.0 mg/kg biotin, 15-55 mg/kg pantothenic acid, 2500-6000mg/kg inositol and 1.8 mg/kg thiamine[2]. Different kinds of bacteria have been employed by many researchers in producing biosurfactant using culture media. Most of such bacteria used are isolated from contaminated sites usually containing petroleum hydrocarbons by-products and/or industrial wastes[3].

Several microorganisms are known to possess de-emulsification properties e.g. *Nocardiaamarae*, *Corynebacteriumpetrophilum*, *Rhodococcusauranticus*, *B. subtilis*, *Micrococcus* sp., *Torulopsisbombicola*, *Acinetobactercalcoaceticus*, species of *Alteromonas*, *Rhodococcus*, *Aeromonas* and mixed bacterial cultures[4]. *Acinetobacter* and *Pseudomonas* species are the dominant de-emulsifiers in the mixed culture. *C. petrophilum, T. bombicola*, *N. amarae*, *R. auranticus* and *Bacillus subtilis* and *Micrococcus* sp., grown on non-petroleum hydrocarbon substrate can effectively de-emulsify petroleum emulsions. There is shortage of this type of studies so this study was designed to produce rhamnolipid (A glycol-lipid biosurfactant composed of one rhamnose unit and a lipid tail) by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* using agricultural resources i.e., molasses.

---

*[1]Biochemist Scholar, [2]Lecturer ,Biochemistry, Avicenna Medical College Lahore,[3] Associate Prof. Forensic Medicine & Toxicology, [4] Community Medicine, Avicenna Medical College, Lahore,*
*Correspondence to Dr. Mudasser Hussain Abbasi, Associate Prof. Forensic Medicine & Toxicology*

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

It was designed to optimize the inoculum size for the production of rhamnolipid. The volume of sample taken are 1ml, of inoculum from growing culture of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*was isolated from contaminated soil collected from industrial area of district kasoor.and flasks were then placed into an orbital shaker at speed of 120rpm. The samples were collected in sterile screw capped bottle, 4-5 cm deep from the soil surface aseptically. The samples were stored at 4 $^{o}$C till further use[5]. After every 24h the culture broth from each flask was taken to estimate bacterial cell mass.All the chemicals including L-rhamnose,Orcinol reagent,Diethyl ether,Molasses, $Na_2HPO_4$, K2HPO, $MgSO_4$, $NaH_2PO_4$, $FeSO_4$, Pepto-newere purchased from Sigma Aldrich from their local distributor in Lahore, Pakistan. The bacterial strains were isolated from the industrial contaminated soil by using soil enrichment technique. Briefly; 1g soil from sample, in 100ml sterile mineral salt media with 1g of molasses was incubated for 96 hours at 37$^{o}$C on an orbital shaker at 100 revolutuions per minute. After enrichment, 1ml cell suspension was taken from the flask and spread over nutrient agar plate and was incubated at 30$^{o}$C for 48 hours. Colonies that appear on nutrient agar plates were selected randomly and sub –cultured to obtain pure isolates.(5).An organic nitrogen medium, with phosphate was prepared. The composition of the medium was ($gL^{-1}$):$NaH_2PO_4$ .$H_2O$, 4.0,$Na_2HPO_4$ .$H_2O$, 1.0,$MgSO_4$ .$7H_2O$, 1.0,$CaCl_2$ .$2H_2O$, 0.005, Peptone, 1.38,25ml of glycerol was used as source of carbon substrate[6]. A total of 2.5litres of distilled water was used, hence the above measured weights and volume respectively was calculated based on that. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 7 using 211 Microprocessor pH meter with 1.0M NaOH. Sixteen Erlenmeyer flasks (250ml) were used during the experiment. 150ml of the prepared medium was measured into each flask using a 200ml measuring cylinder. Each flask was clogged using cushion foam and covered with Aluminium foil. The prepared medium was autocleaved for 3days before being inoculated. Nutrient broth media (100ml) was inoculated with bacterial strain and growth was monitered at 37$^{o}$C in shaking incubator at 100 rpm for 72 hours[7]

## RESULTS

The first batch was designed to optimize the inoculum size for the production of rhamnolipid. Various volumes of inoculum were taken and added into the fermentation media. The experiment was monitored for 96hours and temperature was set at 37°C and pH was set at 7. The volumes taken are 1ml of inoculum from growing culture of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and flasks were then placed into an orbital shaker at speed of 120rpm. After every 24h the culture broth from each flask was taken to estimate bacterial cell mass, rhamnolipid estimation and surface tension reduction. (Tables 1) Biosurfactant Production is a growth associated production, parallel relationships exist between growth, substrate utilization and biosurfactant production. The production of rhamnolipid by *Pseudomonas* species is an example of growth associated biosurfactant production[8]. This batch was designed to optimize the innoculum size while using inoculum sizes of 1ml.

The results of the present study (Table 1) revealed that surface tension was 61.8, 48.1, 33.7 and 31.9 mN/m at time 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours respectively at constant temperature of 37°C and molasses used 0.25g with 1ml inoculum size. The rhamnolipid production was 0.61, 1.24, 2.13 and 2.74 g/L respectively. Similarly the bacterial cell mass was 0.28, 0.38, 0.7 and 1.0 g/L respectively.

The effect of inoculum size was also evaluated by the production of rhamnolipid as it is evident that at 1ml inoculum size 2.74g/L rhamnolipid was obtained after 96 hours. In contrast of that at high concentration of inoculum size there was no increase in the rhamnolipid production. (Fig. 1).

Table 1 : Results with I ml inoculum size

| Time | Inoculum size | Temp | Molases | Surface tension | Rhamnolipids | Bacterial cell mass |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 24 hrs | 1ml | 37**°C** | 0.25g | 61.8 **mN/m** | 0.61 **g/l** | 0.28**g/L** |
| 48 hrs | 1ml | 37**°C** | 0.25g | 48.1 **mN/m** | 1.24 **g/l** | 0.38**g/L** |
| 72hrs | 1ml | 37**°C** | 0.25g | 33.7 **mN/m** | 2.13 **g/l** | 0.7**g/L** |
| 96 hrs | 1ml | 37**°C** | 0.25g | 31.9 **mN/m** | 2.74 **g/l** | 1.0 |




Fig. 1: Estimation of Rhamnolipid production using 1 ml inoculum size

The fig. 1 represents that rhamnolipid concentration (g/L) increased with the passage of time as revealed in the fig that at zero time the rhamnolipid concentration was zero and it increased to 2.74g/L rhamnolipid concentration when the time passage was 96 hours.

## DISCUSSION

Inoculum size is one of the most important parameter for the production of microbial metabolites. Microorganisms required a certain cell number in a particular media to start their rapid growth and metabolite production (log phase) so it is important to determine the exact initial bacterial size to start an experiment leading to the successful end. As rhamnolipid is a growtn associated process (8), the optimization of the inoculum size in proposed media was the most important parameter to be optimized. it was found that 1ml inoculum size was best for rhamnolipid production during this research. it was shown by that biosurfactant production was growth associated so increase in inoculum size will increase the nutritional demand by microorganisms(8) so it was very essential for the experiment to maintain a balance between the inoculum size and the volume of the media component as it effected the biosurfactant production shown by the results. Biosurfactant Production is a growth associated production, parallel relationships exist between growth, substrate utilization and biosurfactant production. The production of rhamnolipid by *Pseudomonas* species is an example of growth associated biosurfactant production[8].

## CONCLUSIONS

After optimizing various growth and environmental factors a production of rhamnolipid was achieved using 0.25g molasses with 1ml inoculum size.

1. Various inoculum sizes such as ,2ml,3ml and 4ml/100ml of broth should be tested
2. At the end rhamnolipid production, surface tension and bacterial cell mass was shouldestimated .
3. Such product can be used for numerous industrial, therapeutic, biomedical, and environmental applications.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors highly acknowledge honourable Dean Dr. Saghir Ahmad Jafri, Dean, Faculty of Sciences, Director, IMBB, Mr. Asif Jamal, The University of Lahore.

## REFRENCES

1. Mata SJC, J Karns and A Torrents (2000). Effects of rhamnolipids produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* UG2 on the solubilization of pesticides. Environmental Science and Technology ,34: 4923–4930.
2. Makkar and Cameotra, 1997).Makkar RS and SS Cameotra (1997). Utilization of molasses for biosurfactant production by two *Bacillus* strains at thermophilic conditions. Journal of theAmerican Oil Chemists' Society ,74: 887–889.
3. Burd and Ward, BurdG and OP Ward (1996). Bacterial degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on agar plates the role of biosurfactants .Biotechnology Techniques,10: 371–374.
4. Kosaric, 2001Kosaric N (2001). Biosurfactants and their application for soil bioremediation. Food Technology and Biotechnology ,39: 295–304.
5. Anna *et al*, 2002 Anna LMS, GV Sebastian, EP Menezes, TLM Alves, AS Santos, N Pereira and DMG Freire (2002). Production of biosrfactants from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA1 isolated in oil environments. Brazilian journal of Chemical Engineering, 56: 159-166.
6. Patel and Desai, 1996Patel RM and AJ Desai (1996). Biosurfactant production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* GS3 from molasses. Letters in Applied Microbiology ,25: 91–94.
7. Wei Y, L Wang and J Chang (2005). Optimizing iron supplement strategies for enhanced surfacting production with *Bacillus subtilis*. BiotechnolProg ,20: 979–983.
8. Desai JD and IM Banat (1997). Microbial production of surfactants and their commercial potential. Microbiological Molecular Reviews ,61" 47–64.